

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/605,975 11/11/2003 Samuel Danican 56.0762 2974 27452 7590 09/07/2005 **EXAMINER** SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION SUCHFIELD, GEORGE A IP DEPT., WELL STIMULATION ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 110 SCHLUMBERGER DRIVE, MD1 SUGAR LAND, TX 77478 3676

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/605,975	DANICAN ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		George Suchfield	3676
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	6 May 2005	
		This action is non-final.	
	Since this application is in condition for allo		rosecution as to the merits is
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11-20 and 23-25</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.		
7)			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/16/05. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/605,975 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In the specification, the BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS must make specific reference to all the drawing figures, such as 6-A, 6-B, 7-A, 7-B, 8-A, 8-B, 9A and 9B. Moreover, there are no actual Figures 6, 7, 8 or 9, per se, so such reference in both the BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS and DETAILED DESCRIPTION should be amended such that Figures 6-A, 6-B, 7-A, 7-B, 8-A, 8-B, 9A and 9B are referred to, instead.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

The step of claim 20, wherein the fracture is designed to have a length greater that twice the depth of the consolidated interval, lacks antecedent basis in the specification.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 9, 10, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 9 and 10 are deemed indefinite or in determinant of scope with respect to the claim language "may contain", especially since these claims have no other non-optional limitations or steps. Thus, it appears "may contain" should be amended to read -- contains --.

These claims are further indefinite or unclear with respect to the recitation "said colloidal silica

Application/Control Number: 10/605,975 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

solutions". It is not seen where more than one colloidal silica solution has been previously set forth..

Similarly, in claim 22, it is not clear why the colloidal silica solution is recited as "injected consolidation fluids", since only one consolidation fluid or solution has been previously set forth.

Claim 21 is deemed indefinite with respect to the term "hesitation scheme". In it unclear exactly what the scope of such "hesitation scheme" comprises; more specific, positively-recited steps must be set forth.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited references disclose the use of suspensions of colloidal silica or other colloidal particles for consolidation of a subterranean formation and processes of fracturing a subterranean formation(s).

- 6. Claims 9, 10, 21 and 22 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. Claims 1-8, 11-20 and 23-25 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Suchfield whose telephone number is 571-272-7036. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 - 3:00).

١

Application/Control Number: 10/605,975

Art Unit: 3676

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Suchfield Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676 Page 4

Gs September 2, 2005